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Land Reforms in China: An Overview - Postscript Japan alone is a case in point. The rise of China has had a pronounced effect on regional geopolitics. China is the world’s largest economy in terms of nominal GDP, and is increasingly becoming a global power in terms of military and economic influence. India and China are the two largest economies in the world, with a combined population of over 1.3 billion people. The combination of economic growth and large populations has led to increased demand for food security and sustainable development. Land reform policies in China and India have played a significant role in achieving these goals.

Impact of Land Reforms on Agriculture and Rural Development

In this paper, we analyze the impact of land reforms on agriculture and rural development in China and India. We use a macroeconomic framework to estimate the effects of land reforms on output, employment, and income. We find that land reforms have had a positive impact on agricultural output and employment, but have had a negative impact on income. The results are robust to alternative specifications and robustness checks.

Impact of Land Reforms on Poverty

We also analyze the impact of land reforms on poverty. We use a microeconomic framework to estimate the effects of land reforms on poverty. We find that land reforms have had a positive impact on poverty reduction, but have had a negative impact on income inequality.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we find that land reforms have had a mixed impact on agriculture and rural development in China and India. While land reforms have had a positive impact on agricultural output and employment, they have had a negative impact on income. Further research is needed to understand the complex relationship between land reforms and economic growth in these two countries.
and relatively equal access to economic resources to a broad cross-section of society has renewed interest in the potential of asset redistribution, including land reforms. Empirical analysis of the impact of such policies is, however, scant and often contradictory. This paper uses panel household data from India, together with state-level variation in the implementation of land reform, to address some of the deficiencies of earlier studies. The results suggest that land reform had a significant and positive impact on income growth and accumulation of human and physical capital. The paper draws policy implications, especially from the fact that the observed impact of land reform seems to have declined over time. The rich empirical material presented in Land Reform Revisited engages with timely debates about land use, land reform, neoliberal state planning, power relations and questions of identity and belonging in post-apartheid South Africa. This open access book offers unique in-depth, comprehensive, and comparative analyses of the motivations, content, and outcomes of recent land reforms in Africa. Whereas a considerable number of land reforms have been carried out in Africa since the 1990s, no systematic analysis on their meaning has so far been conducted. In the age of land reform, Africa has seen drastic rural changes. Analysing the relationship between those reforms and change, the chapters in this book reveal not only their socio-economic outcomes, such as accelerated marketisation of land, but also their political outcomes, which have often been contrasting. Countries such as Rwanda and Mozambique have utilised land reform to strengthen state control over land, but other countries, such as Ghana and Zambia, have seen the rise in power of traditional chiefs in managing the land. The comparative perspective of this book clarifies new features of African social changes, which are carefully investigated by area experts. Providing new perspectives on recent land reform, this book will also have a considerable impact on scholars as well as policymakers. Proceedings of the National Seminar on Impact of Land Reforms on Agriculture and Rural Development, jointly organized by the Indian Association of Social Science Institutions, and the Centre for Agrarian Studies, National Institute of Rural Development, India, and held at the Institute in 1991. Study on land reforms in Maharashtra, India. This book is a case study of Vietnam’s efforts to fight poverty using market-oriented land reforms. In the 1980s and 1990s, the country undertook major institutional reforms, and an impressive reduction in poverty followed. But what role did the reforms play? Was the efficiency gains from reform come at a cost to equity? Were there both winners and losers? Was rural landlessness in the wake of reforms a sign of success or failure? ‘Land in Transition’ investigates the impacts on living standards of the two stages of land law reform: in 1988, when land was allocated to households administratively and output markets were liberalised; and in 1991, when official land titles were introduced and land transactions were permitted for the first time since communist rule began. To fully assess the poverty impacts of these changes, the authors’ analysis of household surveys is guided from both economic theory and knowledge of the historical and social contexts. The book delinates lessons from Vietnam’s experiences and their implications for current policy debates in China and elsewhere. The study attempted to analyse the impacts of the fast track land reform on main production in Zimbabwe. This purpose was backed by constructing a partial equilibrium model that depicted what could have happened if no further policy shifts had taken place after 2001. Setting up a partial equilibrium model required a sound understanding of the functioning of the Zimbabwean maize market. The institutional structure of the Zimbabwean maize market was explored to inform the model development process that would allow for the development of the baseline model. Developing the model started off with the estimation of simple equations which were collapsed into a simultaneous system of equations through the use of a combination of ordinary least squares and generalised least squares techniques. The development of the simulation model required that assumptions be made for exogenous variables, and crafted assumptions were based on the 2000 macro-economic and institutional environment as well as agricultural policies. The re-simulated baseline model that was constructed in this study was used to make projections based on the various trends of exogenous variables in 2000. This means that the model generated an artificial data set based on what the maize market would have looked like under a set of the pre-2000 exogenous policy conditions. As such, the shifts in the political and economic environment that took place after 2000 were not introduced in the model. The fast track land reform policy was thus assessed based on the performance of the baseline model using a range of what if assumptions. Therefore, the re-simulated baseline solutions discussed result not only from policy shifts that occurred before 2000, but also from the convergence of hypothetical political and economic stability within the period in question. The results of the re-simulated baseline indicated that the commercial area harvested was negatively affected by the expropriation of commercial farms. The arguments in literature that the fast track land reform policy shift contributed the loss in area planted owing to the stalling of farming operations due to political unrest, economic instability and import shortages were supported by the model results which showed that total area harvested would have been higher under pre-2000 conditions. From the re-simulated baseline results, the difference between actual and be outcomes revealed that the total maize production was 12.7% less than what could have been produced in 2001, the year that the fast track land reform policy was formally implemented. In view of the 2002/03 drought, output was 31.44% less and 33.53% less than what could have actually been produced for the 2002 and 2003 seasons respectively. In the 2005 drought season, the total maize production was 41.0% less than what could have been produced without the fast track land reform. This may imply that droughts would have been less severe if the fast track land reform was not implemented. In 2007, the baseline showed that the nation could have produced almost 41.0% more than what was actually produced. Therefore, according to the model results, the assertion that the fast track land reform contributed, to a fair extent, to the underperformance of the maize sector still holds. The model developed in this dissertation contributes to an understanding of not only the general structure of the maize market, but also of the impact of the fast track land reform policy on the Zimbabwean maize market prior to the model itself could have performed under the absence of these land reforms. The baseline model revealed that the maize sector performed below potential within the period of the fast track land reform. The maize market model could thus be used as a tool that may assist policymakers to design future strategies that will help enhance maize sector performance. Thesethirds of the world’s poor are rural poor. Most of the rural poor remain dependent on land-based livelihoods for their incomes and reproduction despite significant livelihood diversification in recent years. Land issues remain critical to any development discourse today. Market-led agrarian reform (MLAR) has gained prominence since the early 1990s. The land reform process takes into account that land reform is an integral part of broader rural development efforts, as it involves multiple inter-related processes and networks. The re-simulated baseline results of the comprehensive land reform in Zimbabwe indicate that these processes are not only crucial to the development of the agricultural and natural resource management sectors, but also to the economic development of the country. The results suggest that land reform had a significant and positive impact on income growth and accumulation of human and physical capital. The paper draws policy implications, especially from the fact that the observed impact of land reform seems to have declined over time. The rich empirical material presented in Land Reform Revisited engages with timely debates about land use, land reform, neoliberal state planning, power relations and questions of identity and belonging in post-apartheid South Africa. This open access book offers unique in-depth, comprehensive, and comparative analyses of the motivations, content, and outcomes of recent land reforms in Africa. Whereas a considerable number of land reforms have been carried out in Africa since the 1990s, no systematic analysis on their meaning has so far been conducted. In the age of land reform, Africa has seen drastic rural changes. Analysing the relationship between those reforms and change, the chapters in this book reveal not only their socio-economic outcomes, such as accelerated marketisation of land, but also their political outcomes, which have often been contrasting. Countries such as Rwanda and Mozambique have utilised land reform to strengthen state control over land, but other countries, such as Ghana and Zambia, have seen the rise in power of traditional chiefs in managing the land. The comparative perspective of this book clarifies new features of African social changes, which are carefully investigated by area experts. Providing new perspectives on recent land reform, this book will also have a considerable impact on scholars as well as policymakers. Proceedings of the National Seminar on Impact of Land Reforms on Agriculture and Rural Development, jointly organized by the Indian Association of Social Science Institutions, and the Centre for Agrarian Studies, National Institute of Rural Development, India, and held at the Institute in 1991. Study on land reforms in Maharashtra, India. This book is a case study of Vietnam’s efforts to fight poverty using market-oriented land reforms. In the 1980s and 1990s, the country undertook major institutional reforms, and an impressive reduction in poverty followed. But what role did the reforms play? Was the efficiency gains from reform come at a cost to equity? Were there both winners and losers? Was rural landlessness in the wake of reforms a sign of success or failure? ‘Land in Transition’ investigates the impacts on living standards of the two stages of land law reform: in 1988, when land was allocated to households administratively and output markets were liberalised; and in 1991, when official land titles were introduced and land transactions were permitted for the first time since communist rule began. To fully assess the poverty impacts of these changes, the authors’ analysis of household surveys is guided from both economic theory and knowledge of the historical and social contexts. The book delinates lessons from Vietnam’s experiences and their implications for current policy debates in China and elsewhere. The study attempted to analyse the impacts of the fast track land reform on main production in Zimbabwe. This purpose was backed by constructing a partial equilibrium model that depicted what could have happened if no further policy shifts had taken place after 2001. Setting up a partial equilibrium model required a sound understanding of the functioning of the Zimbabwean maize market. The institutional structure of the Zimbabwean maize market was explored to inform the model development process that would allow for the development of the baseline model. Developing the model started off with the estimation of simple equations which were collapsed into a simultaneous system of equations through the use of a combination of ordinary least squares and generalised least squares techniques. The development of the simulation model required that assumptions be made for exogenous variables, and crafted assumptions were based on the 2000 macro-economic and institutional environment as well as agricultural policies. The re-simulated baseline model that was constructed in this study was used to make projections based on the various trends of exogenous variables in 2000. This means that the model generated an artificial data set based on what the maize market would have looked like under a set of the pre-2000 exogenous policy conditions. As such, the shifts in the political and economic environment that took place after 2000 were not introduced in the model. The fast track land reform policy was thus assessed based on the performance of the baseline model using a range of what if assumptions. Therefore, the re-simulated baseline solutions discussed result not only from policy shifts that occurred before 2000, but also from the convergence of hypothetical political and economic stability within the period in question. The results of the re-simulated baseline indicated that the commercial area harvested was negatively affected by the expropriation of commercial farms. The arguments in literature that the fast track land reform policy shift contributed the loss in area planted owing to the stalling of farming operations due to political unrest, economic instability and import shortages were supported by the model results which showed that total area harvested would have been higher under pre-2000 conditions. From the re-simulated baseline results, the difference between actual and be outcomes revealed that the total maize production was 12.7% less than what could have been produced in 2001, the year that the fast track land reform policy was formally implemented. In view of the 2002/03 drought, output was 31.44% less and 33.53% less than what could have actually been produced for the 2002 and 2003 seasons respectively. In the 2005 drought season, the total maize production was 41.0% less than what could have been produced without the fast track land reform. This may imply that droughts would have been less severe if the fast track land reform was not implemented. In 2007, the baseline showed that the nation could have produced almost 41.0% more than what was actually produced. Therefore, according to the model results, the assertion that the fast track land reform contributed, to a fair extent, to the underperformance of the maize sector still holds. The model developed in this dissertation contributes to an understanding of not only the general structure of the maize market, but also of the impact of the fast track land reform policy on the Zimbabwean maize market prior to the model itself could have performed under the absence of these land reforms. The baseline model revealed that the maize sector performed below potential within the period of the fast track land reform. The maize market model could thus be used as a tool that may assist policymakers to design future strategies that will help enhance maize sector performance.